A new proof of the analyticity of the electronic density of molecules.

Thierry Jecko
AGM, UMR 8088 du CNRS, Université de Cergy-Pontoise,
Département de mathématiques, site de Saint Martin,
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin,
F-95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France.
e-mail: thierry.jecko@u-cergy.fr
web: http://www.u-cergy.fr/tjecko/

29-06-2010

Abstract

We give a new, short proof of the regularity away from the nuclei of the electronic density of a molecule obtained in [FHHS1, FHHS2]. The new argument is based on the regularity properties of the Coulomb interactions underlined in [Hu, KMSW]. Well-known pseudodifferential techniques for elliptic operators are also used.
The paper is published in Letters in Mathematical Physics 93, number 1, pp. 73-83, 2010. The original publication is available at " www.springerlink.com ".

Keywords: Elliptic regularity, analytic elliptic regularity, molecular Hamiltonian, electronic density, Coulomb potential.

1 Introduction.

For the quantum description of molecules, it is very useful to study the so-called electronic density and, in particular, its regularity properties. This has be done for molecules with fixed nuclei: see [FHHS1, FHHS2, FHHS3] for details and references. The smoothness and the analyticity of the density away from the nuclei are proved in [FHHS1] and [FHHS2] respectively. In this paper, we propose an alternative proof.

Let us recall the framework and the precise results of [FHHS1, FHHS2]. We consider a molecule with N moving electrons ($N \geq 1$) and L fixed nuclei. While the distinct vectors $R_1, \cdots, R_L \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the positions of the nuclei, the positions of the electrons are given by $x_1, \cdots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The charges of the nuclei are given by the positive $Z_1, \cdots, Z_L$ and the electronic charge is -1. In this picture, the Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\begin{aligned} H \ &:= \ \sum_{j=1}^{N}\Bigl(-\Delta_{x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^{L} Z_k|x_j - R_k|^{-1}\Bigr) + \sum_{1\leq j<j'\leq N} |x_j - x_{j'}|^{-1} \ + \ E_0 \ , \qquad (1.1) \\ \text{where } E_0 \ &= \ \sum_{1\leq k<k'\leq L} Z_k Z_{k'}|R_k - R_{k'}|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and $-\Delta_{x_j}$ stands for the Laplacian in the variable x_j. Setting $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_j}$, we define the potential V of the system as the multiplication operator satifying $H = -\Delta + V$. Thanks to Hardy's inequality

$$\exists c > 0\, ;\ \forall f \in \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)\ ,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |t|^{-2}\, |f(t)|^2\, dt \ \leq \ c\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f(t)|^2\, dt\ , \tag{1.2}$$

one can show that V is Δ-bounded with relative bound 0 and that H is self-adjoint on the domain of the Laplacian Δ, namely $\mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ (see Kato's theorem in [RS2], p. 166-167). If $N < L - 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{L} Z_k$, there exists $E \leq E_0$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $H\psi = E\psi$ (cf. [CFKS, FH, RS4]). The electronic density associated to ψ is

$$\rho(x) \ := \ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \bigl|\psi(x_1, \cdots, x_{j-1}, x, x_j, \cdots, x_N)\bigr|^2\, dx_1 \cdots dx_{j-1} dx_j \cdots dx_N\ ,$$

an $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$-function. For $N = 1$, we take $\rho = |\psi|^2$. The regularity result is the following

Theorem 1.1. [FHHS1, FHHS2]. *The density ρ is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$.*

Remark 1.2. *In [FHHS1], it is proved that ρ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$. This result is then used in [FHHS2] to derive the analyticity.*

Now let us sketch the new proof of Theorem 1.1, the complete proof and the notation used are given in Section 2. We consider the almost everywhere defined L^2-function

$$\tilde{\psi}\ :\ \mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \ \mapsto\ \psi(x, \cdot, \cdots, \cdot) \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \tag{1.3}$$

and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$-norm. By permutation of the variables, it suffices to show that the map $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \|\tilde{\psi}(x)\|^2$ belongs to $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}; \mathbb{R})$, the space of real analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$. We define the potentials V_0, V_1 by

$$V \;=\; V_0 + V_1 \quad \text{with} \quad V_0(x) \;=\; E_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{L} Z_k |x - R_k|^{-1} \;\in\; C^\omega(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}; \mathbb{R}). \tag{1.4}$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{B}_k := \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}); \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$-\Delta_x \tilde{\psi} \,+\, Q(x)\tilde{\psi} = 0\,, \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where the x-dependent operator $Q(x) \in \mathcal{B}_2$ is given by $Q(x) = -\Delta_{x'} + V_0 - E + V_1$ with $\Delta_{x'} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \Delta_{x_j}$. Considering (1.5) in a small enough, bounded neighbourhood Ω of some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}$, we pick from [Hu, KMSW] a x-dependent unitary operator U_x on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ such that

$$W:\; \Omega \ni x \mapsto U_x V_1 U_x^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_1 \,\subset\, \mathcal{B}_2 \tag{1.6}$$

is analytic. It turns out that $P_0 = U_x(-\Delta_x - \Delta_{x'})U_x^{-1}$ is an elliptic differential operator in the variable (x, y) but can be considered as a differential operator in x with analytic, differential coefficients in $\mathcal{B}_2$. Applying U_x to (1.5) and setting $\varphi(x) = U_x\tilde{\psi}(x)$, we obtain

$$(P_0 \,+\, W \,+\, V_0 \,-\, E)\,\varphi \;=\; 0\,. \tag{1.7}$$

Since U_x is unitary on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, $\|\tilde{\psi}(x)\| = \|\varphi(x)\|$. It suffices to prove that $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$. Using (1.7) and a parametrix of the elliptic operator P_0, we show that, for all k, $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$ by induction and, using the same tools again, that $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$, for all k. Thus $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$. Viewing $P_0 + W + V_0$ as a differential operator in x, we can adapt the arguments in [Hö1] p. 178-180 to get $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$, yielding $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}))$.

The main idea in the construction of the unitary operator U_x is to change, locally in x, the variables $x_2, \cdots, x_N$ in a x-dependent way such that the x-dependent singularities $|x - x_j|^{-1}$ becomes locally x-independent (see Section 2). In [Hu], where this clever method was introduced, and in [KMSW], the nuclei positions play the role of the x variable and the $x_2, \cdots, x_N$ are the electronic degrees of freedom. In [KMSW], the accuracy of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation is proved for the computation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the molecule. We point out that this method is the core of a semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus adapted to the treatment of Coulomb singularities in molecular systems, namely the twisted h-pseudodifferential calculus (h being the semiclassical parameter). This calculus is due to A. Martinez and V. Sordoni in [MS], where the Born-Oppenheimer approximation for molecular time evolution is validated.

As one can see in [KMSW, MS], the above method works in a larger framework. So do Theorem 1.1 and our proof. For instance, we do not need the positivity of the charges Z_k, the fact that $E \leq E_0$, and the precise form of the Coulomb interaction. We do not use the self-adjointness (or the symmetry) of the operator H. We could replace in (1.1) each $-\Delta_{x_j}$ by $|i\nabla_{x_j} + A(x)|^2$, where A is a suitable, analytic, magnetic vector potential. We could also add a suitable, analytic exterior potential.

Let us now compare our proof with the one in [FHHS1, FHHS2]. Here we only use classical arguments of elliptic regularity. In [FHHS1, FHHS2], the elliptic regularity is essentially replaced by some Hölder continuity regularity result on ψ. The authors introduced an adapted, smartly chosen variable w.r.t. which they can differentiate ψ. Here the x-dependent change of variables produces regularity with respect to x. As external tools, we only exploit basic facts of pseudodifferential calculus, the rest being elementary. In [FHHS1, FHHS2], a general, involved regularity result from the literature on "PDE" is an important ingredient of the arguments. We believe that, in spirit, the two proofs are similar. The shortness and the relative simplicity of the new proof is due to the clever method borrowed from [Hu, KMSW], which transforms the singular potential V_1 in an analytic function with values in $\mathcal{B}_1$.

Acknowledgment: The author is supported by the french ANR grant "NONAa" and by the european GDR "DYNQUA". He thanks Vladimir Georgescu, Sylvain Golénia, Hans-Henrik Rugh, and Mathieu Lewin, for stimulating discussions.

2 Details of the proof.

Here we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, sketched in Section 1.

Notation and basic facts. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, let $d_x f$ be the total derivative of f w.r.t. x, by $\partial_x^\alpha f$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ the corresponding partial derivatives. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $D_x^\alpha := (-i\partial_x)^\alpha := (-i\partial_{x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (-i\partial_{x_d})^{\alpha_d}$, $D_x = -i\nabla_x$, $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$, $\alpha! := (\alpha_1!) \cdots (\alpha_d!)$, $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$, and $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space and O an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $C_c^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $C_b^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$, resp. $C^\omega(O;\mathcal{A})$) the space of functions from O to $\mathcal{A}$ which are smooth with compact support (resp. smooth with bounded derivatives, resp. analytic). Let $\mathcal{D}'(O;\mathcal{A})$ denotes the topological dual of $C_c^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$. We use the traditional notation $\mathrm{W}^{k,2}(O;\mathcal{A})$ for the Sobolev spaces of $\mathrm{L}^2(O;\mathcal{A})$-functions with k derivatives in $\mathrm{L}^2(O;\mathcal{A})$ when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for the dual of $\mathrm{W}^{-k,2}(O;\mathcal{A})$ when $-k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathcal{A}'$ is another Banach space, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{A}')$ the space of the continuous linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$ and set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{A})$. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ with finite dimensional $\mathcal{A}$, A^{T} denotes the transpose of A and $\mathrm{Det}A$ its determinant. By the Sobolev injections,

$$\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(O;\mathcal{A}) \subset C^\infty(O;\mathcal{A}) . \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the norm of $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\delta \in \{0;1\}$. Recall (cf. the appendix) that $u \in C^\infty(O;\mathcal{A})$ is analytic if and only if, for any compact $K \subset O$, there exists $A_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d , \quad \sup_{x\in K} \big\|(D_x^\alpha u)(x)\big\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq A_\delta^{|\alpha|+1} \cdot (\alpha!)^\delta \cdot (|\alpha|!)^{1-\delta} . \tag{2.2}$$

For convenience, we set $\mathcal{W}_k = \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that $\mathcal{B}_k = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_k;\mathcal{W}_0)$.

Construction of U_x (see [Hu, KMSW, MS]). Let $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(x_0) = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ near R_k, for all $k \in \{1;\cdots;L\}$. For $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $f(x,s) = s + \tau(s)(x - x_0)$.

$$\text{Notice that} \quad f(x,x_0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad f(x,s) = s \quad \text{if} \quad s \notin \operatorname{supp}\tau\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $(d_sf)(x,s)\cdot s' = s' + \langle\nabla\tau(s), s'\rangle(x - x_0)$, we can choose a small enough, relatively compact neighbourhood Ω of x_0 such that

$$\forall x \in \Omega\,, \quad \sup_s \|(d_sf)(x,s) \,-\, \mathrm{I}_3\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \;\leq\; 1/2\,, \tag{2.4}$$

I_3 being the identity matrix of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, for $x \in \Omega$, $f(x,\cdot)$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and we denote by $g(x,\cdot)$ its inverse. By (2.4) and a Neumann expansion in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\big((d_sf)(x,s)\big)^{-1} \;=\; \mathrm{I}_3 \,+\, \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\big(-\langle\nabla\tau(s),(x-x_0)\rangle\big)^{n-1}\Big)\langle\nabla\tau(s),\cdot\rangle(x-x_0)\,,$$

for $(x,s) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$. Notice that the power series converges uniformly w.r.t. s. This is still true for the series of the derivatives ∂_s^β, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Since

$$(d_sg)(x,f(x,s)) = \big((d_sf)(x,s)\big)^{-1} \text{ and } (d_xg)(x,f(x,s)) = -\tau(s)(d_sg)(x,f(x,s))\,, \tag{2.5}$$

we see by induction that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\big(\partial_x^\alpha\partial_s^\beta g\big)(x,f(x,s)) \;=\; \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^3}(x-x_0)^\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(s) \tag{2.6}$$

on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$, with coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. For $\alpha = \beta = 0$, this follows from $g(x,f(x,s)) = s$. Notice that, except for $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (0,0,0)$ and for $|\beta| = 1$ with $(\alpha,\gamma) = (0,0)$, the coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are supported in the compact support of τ.
For $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $y = (y_2,\cdots,y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, let $F(x,y) = (f(x,y_2),\cdots,f(x,y_N))$. For $x \in \Omega$, $F(x,\cdot)$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ satisfying the following properties: There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, for all $x \in \Omega$, for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$,

$$C_0^{-1}|y-y'| \;\leq\; |F(x,y) - F(x,y')| \;\leq\; C_0|y-y'|\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha F(x,y) - \partial_x^\alpha F(x,y')| \;\leq\; C_0|y-y'|\,, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\text{and, for } |\alpha| \geq 1\,,\ |\partial_x^\alpha F(x,y)| \;\leq\; C_0\,. \tag{2.9}$$

For $x \in \Omega$, denote by $G(x,\cdot)$ the inverse diffeomorphism of $F(x,\cdot)$. By (2.6), the functions $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \ni (x,y) \mapsto (\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta G)(x,F(x,y))$, for $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{N}^3\times\mathbb{N}^{3(N-1)}$, are also given by a power series in x with smooth coefficients in y. Given $x \in \Omega$, let U_x be the unitary operator on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ defined by

$$(U_x\theta)(y) = |\mathrm{Det}(d_yF)(x,y)|^{1/2}\theta(F(x,y))\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Computation of the terms in (1.7) **(cf. [KMSW, MS]).** Consider the functions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega\ni x\mapsto J_1(x,\cdot) & \in & C_c^\infty\big(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)};\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)};\mathbb{R}^3)\big)\,,\\ \Omega\ni x\mapsto J_2(x,\cdot) & \in & C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)};\mathbb{R}^3)\,,\\ \Omega\ni x\mapsto J_3(x,\cdot) & \in & C_b^\infty\big(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)};\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})\big)\,,\\ \Omega\ni x\mapsto J_4(x,\cdot) & \in & C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)};\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})\,,\\ \text{defined by }\ J_1(x,y) & = & (d_xG(x,y'))^{\mathrm{T}}\big(x,y'=F(x,y)\big)\,,\\ J_2(x,y) & = & \big|\mathrm{Det}\,d_yF(x,y)\big|^{1/2}D_x\Big(\big|\mathrm{Det}\,d_{y'}G(x,y')\big|^{1/2}\Big)\Big|_{y'=F(x,y)}\,,\\ J_3(x,y) & = & (d_{y'}G(x,y'))^{\mathrm{T}}\big(x,y'=F(x,y)\big)\,,\\ J_4(x,y) & = & \big|\mathrm{Det}\,d_yF(x,y)\big|^{1/2}D_{y'}\Big(\big|\mathrm{Det}\,d_{y'}G(x,y')\big|^{1/2}\Big)\Big|_{y'=F(x,y)}\,. \end{array}$$

Actually, the support of $J_k(x,\cdot)$, for $k\neq 3$, is contained in the x-independent, compact support of the function τ (cf. (2.3)). So do also the supports of the derivatives $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta J_3$ of J_3, for $|\alpha|+|\beta|>0$. Thanks to (2.6), the $J_k(\cdot,y)$'s can also be written as a power series in x with smooth coefficients depending on y. Now

$$U_x\nabla_xU_x^{-1}\;=\;\nabla_x+J_1\nabla_y+J_2\,,\quad U_x\nabla_{x'}U_x^{-1}\;=\;J_3\nabla_y+J_4\,,\quad\text{and}\tag{2.11}$$

$$P_0\;=\;U_x\big(-\Delta_x-\Delta_{x'}\big)U_x^{-1}\;=\;-\Delta_x+\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)\cdot D_x+\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)\,,\tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)$ is a scalar differential operator of order 2 and $\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)$ is a column vector of 3 scalar differential operators of order 1. Actually the coefficients of $\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)$ and of $\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)-\langle J_3^{\mathrm{T}}J_3\nabla_y,\nabla_y\rangle$ are compactly supported, uniformly w.r.t. x (here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$). By (2.6), $\mathcal{J}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_2$) is given on Ω by a power series of x with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2$) and therefore is a analytic function on Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_2$) (cf. [Hö3]). Next, we look at W defined in (1.6). By (2.3) and (2.10), $j\neq j'$ in $\{2;\cdots;N\}$, for $k\in\{1;\cdots;L\}$, and for $x\in\Omega$,

$$U_x\big(|x-x_j|^{-1}\big)U_x^{-1}\;=\;|f(x;x_0)-f(x;y_j)|^{-1}\,,\tag{2.13}$$

$$U_x\big(|x_j-R_k|^{-1}\big)U_x^{-1}\;=\;|f(x;y_j)-f(x;R_k)|^{-1}\,,\tag{2.14}$$

$$U_x\big(|x_j-x_{j'}|^{-1}\big)U_x^{-1}\;=\;|f(x;y_j)-f(x;y_{j'})|^{-1}\,.\tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The potential W in* (1.6) *is an analytic function from Ω to $\mathcal{B}_1=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_1,\mathcal{W}_0)$.*

Proof: Notice that W is a sum of terms of the form (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15). We show the regularity of (2.13). Similar arguments apply for the other terms. We first recall the arguments in [KMSW], which proves the C^∞ regularity.
Using the fact that $d_x(f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j))$ does not depend on x,

$$D_x^\alpha\big(|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\big)\;=\;(\tau(x_0)-\tau(y_j))^{|\alpha|}\big(D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1}\big)(f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j))$$

for $x_0\neq y_j$. By (2.7) and (2.8), we see that, for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3$ and for $x_0\neq y_j$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\big|D_x^\alpha\big(|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\big)\big| & \leq & C_0^{2|\alpha|}|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{|\alpha|}\,\big|D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1}\big|(f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j))\\ & \leq & C_0^{2|\alpha|}C(\alpha!)\cdot|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\,,\ \text{thanks to}\end{array}$$

$$\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3\,,\,\exists C>0\,,\,\forall y\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}\,,\quad \bigl|D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1}\bigr|(y)\;\leq\;C(\alpha!)\,|y|^{-|\alpha|-1}\,. \tag{2.16}$$

Since $|x'|^{-1}$ is $\nabla_{x'}$-bounded by (1.2) and since U_x is unitary, $|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{-1}$ is $U_x\nabla_{x'}U_x^{-1}$-bounded with the same bounds. But, $U_x\nabla_{x'}U_x^{-1}(-\Delta_y+1)^{-1/2}$ is uniformly bounded w.r.t. x, by (2.11). Thus

$$\bigl\|D_x^\alpha\bigl(|f(x,x_0)-f(x,y_j)|^{-1}\bigr)\bigr\|_{\mathcal{B}_1}\;\leq\;C_1C_0^{2|\alpha|}C(\alpha!)\,, \tag{2.17}$$

uniformly w.r.t. $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3$ and $x\in\Omega$. Therefore W is a distribution on Ω the derivatives of which belong to $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega)$, thus to $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)$. By (2.1), W is smooth.
Using the following improvement of (2.16), proved in appendix below,

$$\exists K>0\,;\;\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3\,,\,\forall y\in\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}\,,\quad \bigl|D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1}\bigr|(y)\;\leq\;K^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)\,|y|^{-|\alpha|-1}\,, \tag{2.18}$$

the l.h.s. of (2.17) is, for $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3$ and $x\in\Omega$, bounded above by $C_1C_0^{2|\alpha|}K^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)\leq K_1^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)$, for some $K_1>0$. This yields the result by (2.2) with $\delta=1$. □

Smoothness. We would like to see (1.7) as an "elliptic" differential equation w.r.t. x with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}_2$ and follow usual arguments of elliptic regularity to prove the smoothness of φ. It turns out that the ellipticity w.r.t x is not well suited to this purpose. Instead, we shall use the ellipticity in all variables of P_0. Indeed, the principal symbol of P_0 is given on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}\times\mathbb{R}^{3N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x,y;\xi,\eta) &= |\xi|^2\,+\,2\,\langle J_1(x,y)\eta\,,\xi\rangle\,+\,|J_1(x,y)\eta|^2\,+\,|J_3(x,y)\eta|^2\\ &= |\xi\,+\,J_1(x,y)\eta|^2\,+\,|J_3(x,y)\eta|^2\end{aligned}$$

and is nonzero for $(\xi,\eta)\neq(0,0)$ and $(x,y)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$. Let $\chi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ supported in Ω such that $\chi=1$ near x_0. We consider the following elliptic extension of P_0:

$$\tilde P_0\;=\;-\Delta_x\,+\,\chi(x)\mathcal{J}_1(x;y;D_y)\cdot D_x\,+\,\chi^2(x)\mathcal{J}_2(x;y;D_y)\,+\,(1-\chi^2)(x)(-\Delta_y)\,. \tag{2.19}$$

For $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, the class S^m in [Hö2] (p. 65-75) is the set of smooth functions a on $\mathbb{R}^{6N}$ such that, for all $(\alpha,\beta)\in(\mathbb{N}^{3N})^2$, there exists $C_{\alpha,\beta}>0$ such that, for all $(x,y;\xi,\eta)$,

$$(1+|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^{|\beta|/2}|\partial_{x,y}^\alpha\partial_{\xi,\eta}^\beta a(x,y;\xi,\eta)|\;\leq\;C_{\alpha,\beta}(1+|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)^{m/2}\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Notice that $\tilde P_0=\tilde p_2(x,y;D_x,D_y)+\tilde p(x,y;D_x,D_y)$ with $\tilde p\in S^1$ and principal symbol $\tilde p_2\in S^2$. The latter does not vanish for $(\xi,\eta)\neq(0,0)$. Since it is homogeneous w.r.t. (ξ,η), there exists $C>0$ such that $\tilde p_2\geq C(|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2)$ as soon as $|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2\geq 1$. Let $\tau\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ such that $\tau(\xi,\eta)=1$ if $|\xi|^2+|\eta|^2\leq 1$. Then we see that $q(x,y;\xi,\eta):=(1-\tau(\xi,\eta))(\tilde p_2(x,y;\xi,\eta))^{-1}$ belongs to S^{-2}. By the composition properties of this pseudodifferential calculus (see [Hö2] p. 65-75), for some symbols $r_0,r_1,r\in S^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,y;D_x,D_y)\tilde P_0 &= q(x,y;D_x,D_y)\tilde p_2(x,y;D_x,D_y)\,+\,r_0(x,y;D_x,D_y)\\ &= (q\tilde p_2)(x,y;D_x,D_y)\,+\,r_1(x,y;D_x,D_y)\;=\;I\,+\,r(x,y;D_x,D_y)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $Q = q(x, y; D_x, D_y)$ and $R = r(x, y; D_x, D_y)$, we obtain, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Q\tilde{P}_0 \;=\; I + R\,, \tag{2.21}$$
$$Q \in \mathcal{L}\big(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}); \mathrm{W}^{k+2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})\big)\,, \quad \text{and} \quad R \in \mathcal{L}\big(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}); \mathrm{W}^{k+1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})\big)\,, \tag{2.22}$$

by the boundedness properties of this calculus on Sobolev spaces (see [Hö2] p. 65-75). Let $\chi_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\chi_0 = 1$ near x_0 and $\chi\chi_0 = \chi_0$. Applying (2.21) to $\chi_0\varphi$, we get $\chi_0\varphi = -R\chi_0\varphi + Q\tilde{P}_0\chi_0\varphi$. Since $\tilde{P}_0\chi_0\varphi = [\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\varphi + \chi_0 P_0\varphi = [\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\chi\varphi + (E - V_0 - W)\chi_0\varphi$,

$$\chi_0\varphi \;=\; -R\chi_0\varphi \;+\; Q(E - V_0)\chi_0\varphi \;-\; QW\chi_0\varphi \;+\; Q[\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\chi\varphi\,. \tag{2.23}$$

Recall that $\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$. By (2.11), $\chi\varphi = \chi U_x\psi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$. In particular, $\chi\varphi, \chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$. By (2.22), $R\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$ and $Q(E-V_0)\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$ thanks to (1.4). By Lemma 2.1, $W\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_0)$ but $QW\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$ by (2.22). By (2.19), $[\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\chi\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1) + \mathrm{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_0)$ thus $Q[\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\chi\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$. Now (2.23) implies that $\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$. Using this new information and a cut-off $\chi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\chi_1 = 1$ near x_0 and $\chi_0\chi_1 = \chi_1$, we get in the same way, χ (resp. χ_0) being replaced by χ_0 (resp. χ_1), that $\chi_1\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$. So, by induction, $\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_1)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, on some neighbourhood Ω' of x_0. By (2.1), $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_1)$.

Remarks: We have recovered the result in [FHHS1]. To get it, we needed neither the refined bounds (2.18) nor the power series mentioned above but just used the smoothness of f w.r.t. x.
Starting from $\chi\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_1)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $W\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_0)$ by Lemma 2.1. Now we use (2.22) to see that $R\chi_0\varphi, QW\chi_0\varphi, Q[\tilde{P}_0, \chi_0]\chi\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_2)$, yielding $\chi_0\varphi \in \mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathcal{W}_2)$ by (2.23). Therefore $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{R_1, \cdots, R_L\}; \mathcal{W}_2)$.
We could have used a local pseudodifferential calculus (cf. [Hö2] p. 83-87) and wave front sets (cf. [Hö2] p. 88-91) to get a more elegant but more involved proof. We proved (2.21) which is a very weak version of the ellipticity result in [Hö2], p. 72-73. For the non specialists' sake, we prefered to use elementary tools, admiting only the results on composition and on boundedness on Sobolev spaces of the basic pseudodifferential calculus given in [Hö2], p. 65-76.

Analyticity. By the second remark above, we know that $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_2)$. To show that $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega; \mathcal{W}_2)$, we adapt the proof of Theorem 7.5.1 in [Hö1] for equation (1.7). So we view the latter as $P\varphi = 0$ where $P = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} a_\alpha D_x^\alpha$ with analytic differential $\mathcal{B}_{2-|\alpha|}$-valued coefficients a_α (cf. Lemma 2.1, (1.4), and (2.12)). Because of the low regularity in y, we essentially follow the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [FHHS2].
Take χ and Ω' as in the proof of the smoothness of ρ and with $\chi = 1$ on Ω'. We shall prove that $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$. To this end, we strengthen a little bit (2.21). Let $Q_1 = (I - R)Q$. Then $Q_1 = q_1(x, y; D_x, D_y)$ with $q_1 \in S^{-2}$ and, for some $\tilde{r} \in S^{-2}$,

$$Q_1\tilde{P}_0 \;=\; (I - r(x, y; D_x, D_y))(I + r(x, y; D_x, D_y)) \;=\; I - \tilde{r}(x, y; D_x, D_y)\,, \tag{2.24}$$
$$Q_1\,, R_1 \;:=\; \tilde{r}(x, y; D_x, D_y) \;\in\; \mathcal{L}\big(\mathrm{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}); \mathrm{W}^{k+2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})\big)\,. \tag{2.25}$$

We claim that there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$, $r \in \{0; 1; 2\}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$|\alpha| + r \leq 2 \implies \|D_x^\alpha v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_r)} \;\leq\; C\|Pv\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_0)} \;+\; C\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_0)}\,. \tag{2.26}$$

By (2.24) and (2.25), we see that (2.26) holds true if P is replaced by $\tilde{P}_0$. Since $\tilde{P}_0 v = P_0 v$ if $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$, (2.26) holds true if P is replaced by P_0. Recall that $P = P_0 + W + V_0 - E$. Since V and V_0 are $(\Delta_x + \Delta_{x'})$-bounded with relative bound 0, W is P_0-bounded with relative bound 0, by the properties of U_x. This means in particular that there exists $C' > 0$ such that, for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$,

$$\|(W + V_0 - E)v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)} \;\leq\; (1/2)\|P_0 v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)} \;+\; C'\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)}\,.$$

For such v, $\|P_0 v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)} \leq \|Pv\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)} + (1/2)\|P_0 v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)} + C'\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega';\mathcal{W}_0)}$. Thus (2.26) follows from the same estimate with P replaced by P_0.
For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\Omega'_\epsilon := \{x \in \Omega';\, d(x; \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega') > \epsilon\}$ and, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the $\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega'_\epsilon; \mathcal{W}_r)$-norm of v by $N_{\epsilon,r}(v)$. As in [Hö1] (Lemma 7.5.1), we use an appropriate cut-off function, Leibniz' formula, and (2.26), to find $C_e > 0$ such that, for all $v \in C^\infty(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$, for all $\epsilon, \epsilon_1 \geq 0$, for all $r \in \{0; 1; 2\}$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $r + |\alpha| \leq 2$,

$$\epsilon^{r+|\alpha|} N_{\epsilon+\epsilon_1,r}(D_x^\alpha v) \;\leq\; C_e \epsilon^2 N_{\epsilon_1,0}(Pv) \;+\; C_e \sum_{r+|\alpha'|<2} \epsilon^{r+|\alpha'|} N_{\epsilon_1,r}(D_x^{\alpha'} v)\,. \tag{2.27}$$

We used the fact that (2.27) holds true for $\epsilon > D'$, the diameter of Ω', since the l.h.s. is zero. By (2.2) with $\delta = 0$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $0 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq D'$,

$$\epsilon_1^{|\alpha|} \sum_{|\beta|\leq 2} \sup_{x \in \Omega'_{\epsilon_1}} \|\partial_x^\alpha a_\beta\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2-|\beta|}} \;\leq\; C_p^{|\alpha|+1} \cdot (|\alpha|!)\,. \tag{2.28}$$

We show that there exists $B > 0$ such that, for all $\epsilon > 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \{0; 1; 2\}$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$r + |\alpha| < 2 + j \;\Longrightarrow \epsilon^{r+|\alpha|} N_{j\epsilon,r}(D_x^\alpha \varphi) \;\leq\; B^{r+|\alpha|+1}\,. \tag{2.29}$$

Take $B_0 > 0$ such that (2.29) holds true for $j \in \{0; 1\}$ with $B = B_0$. We choose $B \geq \max(B_0, 2C_p\langle D'\rangle, C_a)$, where $C_a = 1 + \sharp\{(r,\beta) \in \{0; 1; 2\} \times \mathbb{N}^3; r + |\beta| < 2\}$. Now we can follow the arguments in [Hö1] (see also [FHHS2]) to prove (2.29) by induction on j. As explained in [Hö1], $\varphi \in C^\omega(\Omega'; \mathcal{W}_2)$ follows from (2.29) and (2.2) with $\delta = 0$.

A Appendix

Here we explain the characterizations (2.2) and prove (2.18).
In dimension $d = 1$, the characterizations (2.2) are identical and well-known (cf. [Hö3]). Let $d \geq 1$ and $u \in C^\infty(O; \mathcal{A})$. If u is analytic then (2.2) holds true with $\delta = 1$ (cf. [Hö3]). This estimate implies (2.2) with $\delta = 0$, since, by induction on d, there exists $M_d > 0$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(\alpha!) \leq M_d^{|\alpha|+1}(|\alpha|!)$. By (2.2) with $\delta = 0$, u is analytic in each variable, the others being kept fixed, yielding the analyticity of u (cf. [Hö3]).
Using Cauchy integral formula for analytic functions in several variables (cf. [Hö3]), we prove here the following extension of (2.18). For $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\exists K > 0\,;\; \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d\,, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}\,, \quad \big|D^\alpha |\cdot|^{-1}\big|(y) \;\leq\; K^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)\,|y|^{-|\alpha|-1}\,. \tag{A.1}$$

In dimension $d = 1$, one can show (A.1) with $K = 1$ by induction.
Since $|\cdot|^{-1}$ is homogeneous of degree -1, $D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1}$ is homogeneous of degree $-1 - |\alpha|$, for all α. Thus it suffices to prove (A.1) for y in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\sqrt{\cdot}$ be the analytic branch of the square root that is defined on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}^-$. Take $y \in \mathbb{S}^d$. The well defined function $u : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C}\,;\,|z| \leq 4/\sqrt{7}\}$ given by

$$\mathcal{D} \;=\; \big\{z = (z_1, \cdots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d\,;\forall j\;,\;|z_j| < (4\sqrt{d})^{-1}\big\}\;,\; u(z) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (y_j + z_j)^2}}\;,$$

is analytic. By Cauchy inequalities (cf. Theorem 2.2.7, p. 27, in [Hö3]),

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d\;,\;|\partial_z^\alpha u(0)| \;\leq\; 4\cdot 7^{-1/2}\cdot(\alpha!)\cdot((4\sqrt{d})^{-1})^{-|\alpha|} \;\leq\; (4\sqrt{d})^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)\,. \tag{A.2}$$

Here $\partial_{z_j} := (1/2)(\partial_{\Re z_j} + i\partial_{\Im z_j})$ but it can be replaced by $\partial_{\Re z_j}$ in the formula since u is analytic. Now (A.1) follows from (A.2) since, for all α,

$$(\partial_{\Re z}^\alpha u)(0) \;=\; i^{|\alpha|}(D^\alpha|\cdot|^{-1})(y)\,.$$

References

[CFKS] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, B. Simon: *Schrödinger operators with applications to quantum mechanics and global geometry.* Springer, 1987.

[FHHS1] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *The electron density is smooth away from the nuclei.* Comm. Math. Phys. **228**, no. **3** (2002), 401-415.

[FHHS2] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Analyticity of the density of electronic wave functions.* Ark. Mat. **42**, no. **1** (2004), 87-106.

[FHHS3] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Non-isotropic cusp conditions and regularity of the electron density of molecules at the nuclei.* Ann. Henri Poincaré **8** (2007), 731-748.

[FH] R.G. Froese, I. Herbst: *Exponential bounds and absence of positive eigenvalues for N-body Schrödinger operators.* Comm. Math. Phys. **87**, no. **3**, 429-447 (1982).

[Hö1] L. Hörmander: *Linear partial differential operators.* Fourth printing Springer Verlag, 1976.

[Hö2] L. Hörmander: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III.* Springer Verlag, 1985.

[Hö3] L. Hörmander: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables.* Elsevier science publishers B.V., 1990.

[Hu] W. Hunziker: *Distortion analyticity and molecular resonance curves.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, section A, tome **45**, no **4**, p. 339-358 (1986).

[K] T. Kato: *Pertubation theory for linear operators.* Springer-Verlag 1995.

[KMSW] M. Klein, A. Martinez, R. Seiler, X.P. Wang: *On the Born-Oppenheimer expansion for polyatomic molecules.* Comm. Math. Phys. **143**, no. **3**, 607-639 (1992).

[MS] A. Martinez, V. Sordoni: *Twisted pseudodifferential calculus and application to the quantum evolution of molecules.* Memoirs Am. Math. Soc., Vol. **200**, n. **936** (2009).

[RS2] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II : Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness.* Academic Press, 1979.

[RS4] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. IV : Analysis of operators.* Academic Press, 1979.